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2 Executive summary

Science has shown that many thousands of people have been exposed to now mostly banned chemicals such as lead and PCBs at high enough levels to have had their brain development negatively affected. This report finds that there are other chemicals which are still in routine use in our homes where there is evidence of similar developmental neurotoxic (DNT) properties, and also identifies huge gaps in our knowledge of the impacts of other chemicals on brain development. It also points out the unpleasant reality that we are constantly exposed to a cocktail of chemicals, something which is still largely ignored by chemical safety laws.

In spite of the lessons of the past, regulators are continuing to only regulate after harm is caused, instead of acting to effectively protect the most precious of things; children’s developing brains.

Reported exposures to several neurotoxicants in the EU commonly exceed the levels that are associated with adverse effects on brain development.” Philippe Grandjean

In June 2007 CHEM Trust wrote the briefing Chemicals Compromising Our Children, which highlighted growing concerns about the impacts of chemicals on brain development in children. Almost 10 years later, CHEM Trust has revisited the issue with this report, which includes contributions from two of the most eminent scientists in this area, Professor Barbara Demeneix (Laboratory of Evolution of Endocrine Regulations, CNRS, Paris) and Professor Philippe Grandjean (Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark & Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, USA), who also peer reviewed the report.

Our brain and its development

Our brains are astoundingly complex, made up of over 85 billion neurons, which have grown, developed and interconnected during our lives. The brain is the organ that takes the longest to develop, with initial stages of cell division, creation of neurons and their migration taking place from the first hours after fertilisation and throughout the foetus’ time in the womb. However, brain development does not stop at birth – it’s not until our twenties that neurons are fully developed with their myelin coats.

Throughout this complex developmental process a range of signalling chemicals and other processes operate in order to control what happens. The thyroid hormone system is intimately involved in brain development and function, yet it is well established that this system can be disrupted – for example by a lack of iodine (essential to make thyroid hormone) or by certain chemicals. If developmental processes are disrupted, this most often creates permanent problems.

The complexity of brain development and function means that deficits can be very subtle – small reductions in IQ, disabilities that exist with a broad spectrum of seriousness such as autism, or in some cases conditions which do not have fully agreed diagnostic criteria.

The report commissioned by CHEM Trust on developmental exposure to neurotoxic chemicals and correlated brain consequences is an excellent coverage of the literature.” Barbara Demeneix
Disruption of brain development by chemicals

We are all exposed to hundreds of man-made chemicals in our daily life, coming from everyday products including food, furniture, packaging and clothes. Many of these chemicals will have no negative effects on us, but it is now well established that some are able to disrupt normal development of the brain. Chemicals with long established DNT properties such as lead, PCBs and methylmercury, have been joined by others where DNT effects have been identified more recently, and which are being used in everyday products. There are also rising concerns about chemicals that are very similar to chemicals that have had their use restricted, but which we continue to use as there isn’t sufficient information about their toxic effects. We know even less about thousands of other chemicals in routine use, which have had no testing for DNT properties.

Chemical exposures are so ubiquitous that experts have recognized that babies are born “pre-polluted”. Scientific paediatric and gynaecology & obstetrics societies have consistently warned about chronic health implications from both acute and chronic exposure to chemicals such as pesticides and endocrine disruptors.

The report identifies evidence of DNT properties for the following chemicals:

• **Bisphenol A (BPA)**; a chemical that was used to make baby bottles, is currently being phased out of till receipts (in the EU), but is still used in the making of food can linings and many polycarbonate plastics. There are also concerns about closely related chemicals that are not restricted, including Bisphenol S.

• **Brominated Flame Retardants (BFRs)**; a group of chemicals added to furniture, electronics and building materials. The evidence for neurodevelopmental effects is strongest for the PBDE (polybrominated diphenyl ether) group of BFRs, which are already banned or nearly banned in the EU, though they are still in furniture in our homes, and in dust. However, other BFRs are now being found in dust and human blood serum, with concerns that these BFRs might have similar effects.

• **Phthalates**; a group of chemicals used as plasticisers in PVC and in other products. Some chemicals in this group are now banned in the EU, but many others are still in use.

• **Per- and poly-fluorocarbons (PFCs)**; used as non-stick coatings or breathable coatings, are a large group of chemicals, a few of which are in the process of being restricted by the EU. There is evidence that some PFCs can disrupt the action of the thyroid hormone. PFCs are very persistent in the environment, and many of them can accumulate in our bodies – they are routinely found in blood.

• **Perchlorate**; a contaminant of food, related to the use of certain fertilisers and hypochlorite bleach, and is known to disrupt the thyroid hormone system.
Are we protected?
The EU has the most sophisticated regulations in the world for controlling chemical use. However, there are a number of key flaws in this system:

- There is often inadequate safety information about individual chemicals, including a lack of information about neurodevelopmental effects.
- The processes to ban chemicals are too slow, and the restrictions created often have big loopholes as a result of industry lobbying.
- Chemicals are addressed one at a time, so one chemical may have its use restricted, but closely related chemicals remain in use.
- We are always exposed to multiple chemicals, but regulations almost always assume we are only exposed to one at a time, even though numerous scientists have shown that chemical effects can add together in our bodies.

Policy recommendations
It is clear that our children are not currently being protected from chemicals that can disrupt brain development. We have identified a range of policy measures that could improve the situation, including:

- **Acting faster to ban chemicals of concern**, including addressing groups of similar substances, not just those where we have the most information.
- **Ensuring that any safety testing of chemicals** includes evaluation of DNT effects.
- **Ensuring better identification and regulation of** neurodevelopmental toxic chemicals.
- **Ensuring that all uses of chemicals are properly regulated**; for example there is a lack of effective regulation of chemicals in food packaging including paper, card, inks, glues and coatings.
- **The UK and Ireland should remove the requirement for an open flame test for furniture.** This test is not required in the rest of the EU, and leads to increased use of flame retardant chemicals.

Finally, it is important to note that EU regulations have already controlled a number of chemicals of concern, and that EU laws provide a tool to address these problems. We therefore think it is vital for the UK Government to work to stay aligned with EU chemicals laws, whatever the eventual outcome of the UK’s Brexit process.

"From human poisoning cases, we know of at least 200 chemicals that can enter the human brain and cause damage to the nerve cells…I would think that virtually all of them can also harm the development of the human brain, most probably at much lower levels than those that cause adverse effects in adults. About half of these chemicals are commonly used… and therefore present a high potential for exposures.”

Philippe Grandjean

"The current generation has the responsibility to safeguard the brains of the future.”

Philippe Grandjean
Chemical threat to brain development

- **BPA**
  - Till receipts and tin cans

- **Phthalates/BFRs**
  - Household dust

- **BFRs**
  - Car upholstery, sofas, screens

- **BPA/PFCs**
  - Food containers like Pizza boxes

- **Pesticides**
  - Agricultural pesticide sprays

- **PFCs**
  - Waterproof clothing

- **What next...??**
  - Arsenic
  - Lead
  - Methylmercury
  - Perchlorate

For details: www.chemtrust.org.uk/brain